

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 107916

17

ORIGIN DLOS-02

INFO OCT-01 NEA-01 ISO-00 /004 R

66011

DRAFTED BY: D/LOS:JPBERNHARDT:AFR

APPROVED BY: D/LOS:AGJAMES

----- 045195

R 040359Z MAY 76

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY ABU DHABI

UNCLAS STATE 107916

FOLLOWING TEL SENT ACTION SECSTATE FROM USUN APRIL 15:

QUOTE UNCLAS USUN 1574

FROM LOSDEL

DEPT PLEASE PASS TO ALL DIPLOMATIC POSTS

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PLOS

SUBJECT: LOS: UNCLASSIFIED MID SESSION SUMMARY: MARCH 15 -

APRIL 9, 1976

1. SUMMARY: AT MID POINT ALL THREE MAIN COMMITTEES OF LOS CONFERENCE ARE STILL PROCEEDING WITH A REVIEW OF THE SINGLE NEGOTIATING TEXT. WHILE SPECIFIC DISCUSSIONS HAVE BEEN REPORTED IN EARLIER SUMMARIES IT SEEMS NOW APPARENT THAT RESULTS OF SESSPON WILL NOT BE CLEAR UNTIL CONTENTS OF A REVISED NEGOTIATING TEXT EMERGE ABOUT SEVENTH WEEK OF SESSION. SECRETARY KISSINGER'S VISIT WITH CONFERENCE LEADERS AND SPEECH ON LOS ISSQES TO US AUDIENCE IN NEW YORK LAST WEEK HAS IMPRESSED UPON MANY DELEGATES SERIOUSNESS WITH WHICH US VIEWS BOTH IMPORTANCE OF TREATY AND NECESSITY FOR COMPLETING IT THIS YEAR. IN COMMITTEE I (DEEP SEABEDS) EFFECTS OF SECRETARY'S NEW PROPOSALS SPURRING ACCEPTABLE ACCOMMODATION WILL BE SEEN WHEN REVISED PACKAGES OF ARTICLES ARE BEFORE

UNCLASSIFIED
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 107916

THE FULL COMMITTEE. THE COMMITTEE (STRAITS, TERRITORIAL SEAS, AND ECONOMIC ZONE) THERE APPEARS TO BE SUBSTANTIAL SUPPORT ON TERRITORIAL SEA, STRAITS AND ECONOMIC ZONE

ARTICLES REVIEWED TO DATE, BUT WITH IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES REMAINING ON STATUS OF THE ECONOMIC ZONE, ACCOMMODATION OF FISHING INTERESTS OF LANDLOCKED AND GEOGRAPHICALLY DISADVANTAGED STATES, AND JURISDICTION OVER THE CONTINENTAL MARGIN BEYOND 200 MILES. IN COMMITTEE III (POLLUTION, SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH) THERE HAS BEEN LITTLE REAL PROGRESS IN MAIN COMMITTEE WORKING GROUPS ON MOST DIFFICULT ISSUES OF VESSEL SOURCE POLLUTION STANDARD SETTING AND A REGIME FOR SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH IN THE ECONOMIC ZONE. DISCUSSION OF COMPLUSORY OF DISPUTES SETTLEMENT GOT OFF TO A SLOW START AND PLENARY DEBATE ON SUBJECT IS STILL UNDERWAY. IT NOW APPEARS THAT FOLLOWING PLENARY DEBATE INFORMAL WORK WILL PROCEED AND THAT AMERASINGHE WILL PRODUCE A SNT ON PAR WITH THOSE OF THE MAIN COMMITTEES. CONFERENCE PRESIDENT APPARANTLY EXPECTS REVISED TEXT AS A WHOLE TO ATTAIN FORMAL STATUS PRIOR TO END OF SESSION. END SUMMARY

2. PROBABLE SUMMER SESSION: SECRETARY KISSINGER UNDERSCORED URGENCY OF COMPLETING WORK ON LOS TREATY THIS YEAR BY ANNOUNCING THAT PRESIDENT HAD ASKED HIM TO LEAD THE DELEGATION TO A SECOND SESSION AND EXPRESSED HOPE OTHER COUNTRIES WOULD ATTACH SIMILAR IMPORTANCE TO RESOLVING REMAINING ISSUES AT DECISIVE POLITICAL LEVEL. WHILE SOME DELEGATIONS HAVE EXPRESSED THE FEAR THAT THIS WOULD CAUSE DELAY IN DECISION MAKING PROCESS AT CURRENT SESSION, IT IS OBVIOUS THAT A PRE-REQUISITE TO SUCH HIGH LEVEL PARTICIPATION IS SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION DURING THIS SESSPON OF BROADLY ACCEPTABLE SNT WITH ONLY A FEW KEY ISSUES REMAINING. EXPECTATION NOW IS FOR A SIX OR SEVEN WEEK SESSION BETWEEN MID JULY AND MID SEPTEMBER IN GENEVA.

3. COMMITTEE I (DEEP SEABED): HAVING ESTABLISHED EFFECTIVE PROCEDURES IN THE FIRST WEEK OF THE SESSION COMMITTEE I HAS BEEN ABLE TO MOVE RAPIDLY THROUGH CONSIDERATION OF THE SINGLE NEGOTIATING TEXT. STRONG INDICATIONS POINT TOWARD A REVISED MODERATE SINGLE NEGOTIATING TEXT IN SIXTH WEEK THAT WILL ACCOMMODATE UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 107916

MANY DIVERGENT VIEWS.

4. MULTI-TIARED NEGOTIATIONS HAVE ALLOWED ALL C-1 DELS TO PARTICIPATE FULLY IN NEGOTIATING PROCESS. SMALL, CLOSED GROUPS HAVE BEEN EXPRESSLY AVOIDED BY CHAIRMAN ENGO IN THE HOPE OF ASSURING WIDE ACCEPTANCE OF REVISED SNT. DRAFT REVISED TEXT HAVE BEEN MOVING THROUGH THE MUTI-TIERED NEGOTIATING PROCESS AND ISSUED BY ENGO AS CHAIRMAN'S PAPERS. THERE HAS BEEN A MANIFEST DESIRE AMONG THE MAJORITY OF DELEGATIONS TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE NEGOTIATIONS AS RAPIDLY AS POSSIBLE WITH THE HOPE OF

COMPLETING THE TREATY IN 1977. EVEN MORE IMPORTANTLY, THERE HAS BEEN A CLEAR WILLINGNESS TO SEEK POLITICAL COMPROMISES WHICH COULD LEAD TO A BROADLY ACCEPTABLE AGREEMENT. NEVERTHELESS, DESPITE THE FAVORABLE CONDITIONS, PROGRESS HAS BEEN MODERATE AND NEGOTIATIONS COMPLEX AND DIFFICULT.

5. REVIEW OF ANNEX 1 TO PART 1 OF THE TREATY DEALING WITH THE BASIC CONDITIONS FOR PROSPECTING, EXPLORATION AND EXPLOITATION OF DEEP SEABED RESOURCES HAS BEEN COMPLETED. DEBATE HAS ALSO BEEN COMPLETED ON ARTICLE 9 ON ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS, ARTICLE 22 ON THE SYSTEM OF ACCESS TO SEABED RESOURCES AND ARTICLE 25, 26, 27 AND 28 ON COMPOSITION, POWERS AND FUNCTIONS OF THE ASSEMBLY AND COUNCIL. RESULT OF THESE DEBATES WILL BE REFLECTED IN THE PACKAGE OF DRAFT ARTICLES TO BE DISTRIBUTED BY ENGO SHORTLY.

6. SECRETARY KISSINGER'S SPEECH OF APRIL 8 WAS A POSITIVE CONTRIBUTION TO THE NEGOTIATIONS. THE SECRETARY OUTLINED ELEMENTS OF POSSIBLE COMPROMISE PACKAGE INCLUDING THE CONTROVERSIAL ISSUES REGARDING THE ECONOMIC IMPACT OF DEEP SEABED MINING ON DEVELOPING, LAND-BASED PRODUCERS.

7. COMMITTEE II (TERRITORIAL SEA, STRAITS, ECONOMIC ZONE). COMMITTEE II WORK HAS PROCEEDED THUS FAR ON THE BASIS OF AN ARTICLE-BY-ARTICLE DISCUSSION OF THE SINGLE NEGOTIATING TEXT (SNT) IN AN EFFORT TO ASCERTAIN AREAS OF BROAD AGREEMENT. TO DATE, WORK HAS BEEN COMPLETED ON READING OF TEXTS DEALING WITH LIMITS OF THE TERRITORIAL SEA, INNOCENT PASSAGE, THE CONTIGUOUS UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 STATE 107916

ZONE, STRAITS USED FOR INTERNATIONAL NAVIGATION AND MOST OF THE ARTICLES ON THE ECONOMIC ZONE (INCLUDING FISHERIES). DISCUSSION FOLLOWED ALONG EXPECTED LINES, WITH THE MARITIME STATES, COASTAL STATES AND THE LAND-LOCKED AND GEOGRAPHICALLY DISADVANTAGED STATES (LL/GDS) PROVIDING MAJOR POINT-OF-VIEW GROUPINGS. ALTHOUGH LARGE NUMBERS OF DELEGATIONS HAVE INTERVENED ON MANY ARTICLES, CAUSING PROGRESS TO BE SLOW, NO SINGLE PROPOSAL FOR SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION OF THE TEXT HAS RECEIVED MAJORITY SUPPORT.

8. ON BASIC NAVIGATION ISSUES, THERE DOES NOT APPEAR TO BE STRONG SUPPORT FOR MAJOR CHANGE. MOST PROPOSALS WERE AIMED AT MODIFYING THE SNT TO CLARIFY STATES' RIGHTS AND DUTIES WITH RESPECT TO INNOCENT PASSAGE AND THE REGIME OF STRAITS, ATTITUDES FOR THE MOST PART WERE MODERATE AND REFLECT A GENERAL IMPRESSION THAT THE SNT IS BALANCED IN MANY AREAS, ALTHOUGH THERE REMAINS A SMALL NUMBER OF STRAITS STATES (A DOZEN OR SO) PRESSING

FOR PROVISIONS PREVIOUSLY REJECTED IN THE SNT.

9. ON RESOURCE ISSUES, THERE IS WIDE-SPREAD GENERAL ACCEPTANCE OF THE PRINCIPLE OF COASTAL STATE JURISDICTION OVER THE EXPLORATION AND EXPLOITATION OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES OF THE ECONOMIC ZONE TO A DISTANCE NOT TO EXCEED 200 MILES. THE SNT APPEARED TO DRAW A GOOD DEGREE OF SUPPORT ON THE HANDLING OF THE SURPLUS OF COASTAL FISH STOCKS. THE ARTICLE ON ANADROMOUS SPECIES RECEIVED LITTLE ATTENTION AND WILL MOST LIKELY BE MODIFIED ONLY IN TECHNICAL WAYS. STATES ARE STILL SPLIT ON THE QUESTION OF HIGHLY MIGATORY SPECIES BETWEEN ON THE ONE HAND THE COASTAL STATES THROUGH WHOSE ECONOMIC ZONES SUCH FISH WOULD RANGE AND ON THE OTHER STATES WHO WOULD FISH THOSE STOCKS. STILL UNDER DEBATE IS THE QUESTION OF THE DEGREE TO WHICH, AND THE AREA IN WHICH, THE LL/GDS SHOULD BE AFFORDED ACCESS TO THE LIVING RESOURCES OF THE ECONOMIC ZONES OF NEIGHBORING COASTAL STATES. IN THIS DEBATE, THE LL/GDS HAVE EMERGED IN A GENERALLY UNIFIED FRONT ON THE ISSUES. THEIR STRONG POSITION IS CAUSING CONCERN AMONG SOME COASTAL STATES ABOUT FUTURE OF THE NEGOTIATIONS. THESE STATES ARGUE THAT THE LANDLOCKED

UNCLASSIFIED
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 05 STATE 107916

ARE ASKING FOR TOO MUCH. WHILE SOME LL/GDS STILL TALK ABOUT ACCESS TO NON-LIVING RESOURCES. THIS IS WIDELY REGARDED AS A TACTIC.

10. ALSO STILL UNDER DEBATE IS THE JURIDICAL NATURE OF THE ECONOMIC ZONE, I.E., WHETHER THE ZONE IS HIGH SEAS (AS IN THE U.S. VIEW) OR HAS SOME OTHER (MORE COASTAL) CHARACTER. SOME EXTREME COASTAL STATES ARE TRYING TO CONFUSE THE ISSUE BY ARGUING THAT HIGH SEAS STATES WOULD COMPROMISE FISHING RIGHTS; THIS IS OBVIOUSLY UNTRUE, IN THE U.S. PROPOSAL, WHICH PROPOSES THAT HIGH SEAS STATES BE MAINTAINED ONLY RPT ONLY TO THE EXTENT THIS IS NOT INCOMPATIBLE WITH THE ECONOMIC ZONE PROVISIONS, E.G. FOR NAVIGATION, OVERFLIGHT, ETC. ON THIS ISSUE THERE WAS GENERAL DISSATISFACTION ON THE PART OF A NUMBER OF STATES ON BOTH SIDES OF THE QUESTION. THIS ISSUE WILL NOT BE CONCLUDED UNTIL THE ENTIRE ECONOMIC ZONE/HIGH SEAS PACKAGE HAS EMERGED IN THE DEBATES, ALTHOUGH THE GENERAL DESIRE TO ACHIEVE A SUCCESSFUL CONCLUSION TO NEGOTIATIONS THIS YEAR ACTS AS A STIMULUS TO THE RESOLUTION OF THIS KEY ISSUE. THE UNITED STATES DELEGATION CONTINUES TO BE CAUTIOUSLY OPTIMISTIC THAT THESE ISSUES CAN BE RESOLVED IN A MANNER CONSISTENT WITH ITS VITAL INTERESTS.

11. COMMITTEE III: MARINE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH: THE FORMAL MEETINGS OF COMMITTEE III ON MARINE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

(MSR) HAVE COMPLETED DISCUSSION OF THE 37 ARTICLES IN THE SNT OR MSR AND DURING THE WEEK OF 4 APRIL BEGAN DISCUSSION ON TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER. THE DISCUSSIONS ON MSR IN THE FORMAL MEETINGS FOCUSED ON THE REGIME FOR RESEARCH IN THE ECONOMIC ZONE. THERE WAS A BROAD ATTACK BY SOME THIRTY COUNTRIES FROM THE GROUP OF 77, WHICH FAVOR COASTAL STATE CONSENT FOR ALL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH WITHIN THE ECONOMIC ZONE, ON THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN TYPES OF RESEARCH SET FORTH IN THE SNT. MODERATES IN THE GROUP OF 77 AND MOST INDUSTRIALIZED COUNTRIES SUPPORTED A COMPROMISE ALONG THE LINES OF THE SNT. KSSINGER SPEECH DREW ATTENTION TO IMPORTANCE OF NOT HAMPERING NON RESOURCE RELATED SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH IN RESPONSE TO SEVERAL REQUESTS FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A SMALL NEGOTIATING

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 06 STATE 107916

GROUP. AMBASSADOR BRENNAN OF AUSTRALIA ESTABLISHED AN INFORMAL, CLOSED NEGOTIATING GROUP TO DEAL WITH MSR. THIS GROUP WITH THE U.S. PARTICIPATING HAS HAD ONE MEETING DURING THE PAST WEEK WITH TWO MORE MEETINGS ANTICIPATED FOR THIS WEEK. THIS GROUP MAY WELL ADVANCE THE SEARCH FOR A COMPROMISE SOLUTION WHICH HAS ELUDED THE FULL MEETING OF THE INFORMAL COMMITTEE.

12. REGARDING THE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER, SEVERAL MEMBERS OF THE GROUP OF 77 EMPHASIZED THAT TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER MUST BE ACCOMPLISHED IN A MANNER WHICH RESPECTS THE SOVEREIGNTY OF THE RECEIVING STATE, AND AIDS THAT STATE IN REALIZING ITS NATIONAL POLICIES. THEY STATED THAT A NEW LEGAL REGIME MUST BE ESTABLISHED WHICH WOULD END MONOPOLISTIC AND RESTRICTIVE COMMERCIAL PRACTICES REGARDING MARINE TECHNOLOGY.

13. THE MARINE POLLUTION SECTION OF THE THIRD COMMITTEE IS PROGRESSING AT A SUFFICIENTLY FAST PACE TO INSURE COMPLETION OF ENOUGH DISCUSSION TO PERMIT THE CHAIRMAN TO PRODUCE A NEW TEXT AT OR NEAR THE END OF THIS SESSION. CHAIRMAN VALLARTA HAS DEVISED A SYSTEM IN WHICH INFORMAL COMMITTEE SESSIONS ARE MATCHED WITH PRIVATE AND SMALL GROUP CONSULTATIONS. DISCUSSIONS OF ARTICLES 1-17 WERE DEFERRED BECAUSE IT WAS FELT THAT SUFFICIENT DISCUSSION OF THESE ARTICLES HAD TAKEN PLACE IN PAST SESSIONS. THE WORK ON ARTICLES 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24 IS SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLETED. THE BALANCE OF TIME WILL BE SPENT ON THE VESSEL SOURCE POLLUTION STANDARD SETTING, ENFORCEMENT, SAFEGUARDS ARTICLES, INTERNATIONAL SEABED AREA AND ATMOSPHERIC SOURCE ARTICLES. CHAIRMAN VALLARTA PREPARED THE WAY BY ALLOWING TWO FULL DAYS OF GENERAL DISCUSSIONS ON AN ISSUE-ORIENTED BASIS IN PNFORMAL COMMITTEE. HE IS NOW HOLDING SMALL GROUP CONSULTATIONS ON THE ISSUES AND KEEPS STRESSING THE NEED TO APPROACH ALL THESE QUESTIONS AS PART OF A PACKAGE. WITH SOME EXCEPTIONS ON THE

PART OF SOME MARITIME AND COASTAL EXTREMISTS WHO ARE BECOMING MORE ISOLATED THERE SEEMS TO BE A GENERAL WILLINGNESS TO COMPROMISE AND REACH REALISTIC SOLUTIONS DURING THIS SESSION.

14. DISPUTE SETTLEMENT: CONFERENCE HAS BEEN SLOW IN GETTING UNCLASSIFIED
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 07 STATE 107916

DOWN TO SERIOUS WORK ON COMPULSORY DISPUTE SETTLEMENT (CDS). INTERVENTIONS IN INFORMAL GROUP MEETINGS, MARCH 23-APRIL 2, CONFINED TO BROAD STATEMENTS OF PRINCIPLES AND LOOSE DISCUSSION OF ISSUES. GROUP OF 77 DID INTERVENE AT THOSE MEETINGS.

15. PLENARY DEBATE ON CDS BEGAN APRIL 5. 68 SPEAKERS HAVE THUS FAR PRESENTED RESPECTIVE POSITIONS ON GENERAL PRINCIPLES AND VARIOUS SELECTED ISSUES INVOLVED IN CDS. FEW SURPRISES IN STATEMENTS, AND, ALTHOUGH TOO EARLY TO PREDICT DIRECTION CONFERENCE WILL MOVE TO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT, SEVERAL POINTS ARE CLEAR:

16. (A) SCOPE OF USYSTEM: SOME STATES (CHINA, ICELAND, MADAGASCAR, KENYA, ECUADOR, PAKISTAN, BRAZIL, LIBYA, AND UNITED ARAB EMIRATES) FLATLY OPPOSED CDS WITHIN AREAS OF NATIONAL JURISDICTION (E.G., ECONOMIC ZONE). OTHERS (INDIA, AND OTHER LATINS WHO SPOKE) EXCLUDED CDS FROM THOSE AREAS, BUT INDICATED WILLINGNESS TO ACCEPT SOME FORM OF SETTLEMENT SYSTEM FOR DISPUTES RELATING TO NAVIGATION AND OVERFLIGHT. USSR AND EASTERN BLOCK STATES WANT FREEDOM TO CHOOSE CDS FOR FUNCTIONAL AREAS ALONE (SEABED, FISHERIES, SCIENCE, POLLUTION, AND, POSSIBLY, NAVIGATION). MOST REMAINING STATES WANT CDS PROVISIONS TO APPLY TO ALL PARTS OF CONVENTION AND OPPOSED ANY BROAD EXCEPTIONS.

17. (B) EXCEPTIONS: SEVERAL STATES (INCLUDING US) THAT SUPPORTED APPLICATION OF CDS TO ALL PARTS OF CONVENTION ALSO INDICATED THAT LIMITED AND CAREFULLY DRAWN EXCEPTIONS WERE NEEDED IF THE CHAPTER WAS TO RECEIVE WIDESPREAD SUPPORT. NONE OF THOSE STATES ACCEPTED ARTICLE 18 (2) (A) WHICH PROVIDES FOR EXCEPTION FOR DISPUTES ARISING OUT OF THE EXERCISE OF COASTAL STATE DISCRETION.

18. (C) FORUM: MOST STATES ADVOCATE FREEDOM FOR PARTIES TO CHOOSE PREFERRED MODE OF BINDING SETTLEMENT, E.G., ICJ ARBITRATION, OR A NEW LOS TRIBUNAL. MANY MEMBERS OF THE GROUP 77 WHO SPOKE SUPPORTED THE TRIBUNAL, ALTHOUGH REFERENCES TO LOS TRIBUNAL AND SEABED TRIBUNAL FOR DEEP SEA-BED DISPUTES (WHICH MOST SPEAKERS ENDORSED) SEEMED AT TIMES TO BE CONFUSED.
UNCLASSIFIED
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 08 STATE 107916

19. (D) PARTIES: EXCEPT FOR ACCESS BY INDIVIDUAL MINERS TO
SEABED TRIBUNAL, PREVAILING VIEW WAS THAT ONLY STATES VERTY
TO THE CONVENTION WHOULD BE PARTIES TO AN ACTION. SCRANTON
UNQUOTE SISCO

UNCLASSIFIED

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 15 SEP 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: LAW OF THE SEA, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, MEETING REPORTS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 04 MAY 1976
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976STATE107916
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: D/LOS:JPBERNHARDT:AFR
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: n/a
Film Number: D760171-0096
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t197605109/baaaeowh.tel
Line Count: 334
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN DLOS
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 7
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: morefirh
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 07 JUN 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <07 JUN 2004 by wolfsd>; APPROVED <24 JUN 2004 by morefirh>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: LOS: UNCLASSIFIED MID SESSION SUMMARY: MARCH 15 -
TAGS: PLOS
To: ABU DHABI
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006